

## VIRTUAL EXTEMPORANEOUS WRITING – Judge Rating Sheet

### 2021 Virtual Texas State Conference

**Items required for conference:** Pdf of essay

**How to submit:** Uploaded automatically through testing platform

**Description:** Competitors will complete the essay within the testing platform

**Essay Uploaded\*:** Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

*\*If the materials are not uploaded, please note the entire rubric cannot be completed so no score would be given.*

Competitor Name & # \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name \_\_\_\_\_

Section # \_\_\_\_\_ Division: \_\_\_\_SS \_\_\_\_ PS/Collegiate

A. Content	Excellent 20 points	Good 16 points	Average 12 points	Fair 8 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
<b>1. Coverage of Secret Topic</b>	Demonstrates command of the topic throughout the essay. Discusses the topic and its significance. Uses the topic as a path for the essay. Competitor offers explanations and insights that enhance the understanding of the topic.	Mentions topic and its significance. Uses the topic as a path for the essay. Competitor offers explanations and insights that link back to the topic.	Mentions topic and briefly explains its significance.	Briefly mentions a topic but does not provide any analysis or reasoning behind the topic.	Did not include much in the way of content or a topic.	
A. Content	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
<b>2. Evidence/ Examples</b>	Writing includes evidence that completely supports the secret topic/main idea with many details, facts, or examples. Uses many sensory/concrete words and details that support the topic/main idea.	Writing includes evidence that mostly supports the topic/main idea with several details, facts or examples. Author uses some sensory/concrete words and details that support the topic/main idea.	Writing includes some evidence that partially supports the topic/main idea. Uses minimal sensory or concrete words/details that support the topic/main idea.	Writing includes some evidence that partially supports the topic/main idea. Uses minimal sensory or concrete words/details that support the topic/main idea.	Writing does not include evidence to support the topic/main idea. Does not use sensory or concrete words/details that support the topic/main idea.	
A. Content	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE

<b>3. Insight (understanding of topic/implications)</b>	Writing completely communicates information accurately. Focus is on subtopics, which connects back to the thesis statement. The writing goes beyond and makes connections to other texts.	Writing mostly communicates information and ideas accurately and is easy to understand. Focus is on subtopics. These subtopics connect back to the thesis statement.	Writing partially communicates information and ideas accurately. Focus is somewhat present. Writing does not connect back to the thesis statement.	Some of the writing communicates information and ideas accurately. Little focus is present. Writing does not connect back to the thesis statement.	Writing does not communicate information and ideas accurately. Focus is missing. Does not connect back to the thesis statement.	
<b>4. Informative, engaging and interesting</b>	Writing is highly informative and extremely engaging for the reader.	Most of the writing is informative and enjoyable to the reader.	Writing is mostly engaging as some of the information is informative and interesting.	Writing needs to be more engaging and informative.	Writing is not informative and does not hold the attention or interest of the reader.	
<b>5. Originality</b>	Writing reflects the original thoughts of the author and extends a creative or unique idea, question or concept on the secret topic. No evidence of plagiarism.	Writing reflects the original thoughts of the author and provides some unique ideas on the secret topic. No evidence of plagiarism.	Some original thoughts are provided by the author. Creativity is experimented with on the secret topic. No evidence of plagiarism.	Limited originality is provided by the author on the secret topic. No evidence of plagiarism.	The competitor reformulates a collection of available ideas or evidence of plagiarism is evident.	
<b>B. Organization</b>	<b>Excellent 5 points</b>	<b>Good 4 points</b>	<b>Average 3 points</b>	<b>Fair 2 points</b>	<b>Poor 0 points</b>	<b>JUDGE SCORE</b>
<b>1. Opening Statement</b>	Competitor grabs attention of reader. The introduction is creative, imaginative and thoughtful. Thesis clearly revealed and well-structured for the paper. Forecasts body of paper in a memorable and effective way.	Competitor somewhat grabs the attention of the reader. Thesis stated and appropriate for the paper. Forecasts body so audience knows main points in brevity.	Audience is reading with some engagement. Thesis needs strength or structure. Forecast incomplete.	Attention device is unrelated to the topic. Thesis missing OR Forecast statement missing.	Attention device is missing. Thesis inappropriate or missing AND Forecast is missing or indistinguishable.	
<b>2. Transitions</b>	Writing has voice and is easily read aloud. Appropriate transitions are used to move from one supporting detail to the next. Word choice and syntax offer surprise, clarity and "just right" wording.	Writing has some voice and is easily read aloud. Transitions are used, but better wording could have been used.	Vocabulary or writing style needs further development in sentence variety, word choice, and fluency. Some basic transitions used.	Sentences are short, fragmented or run-ons. Flow of essay is hard to follow. Few to no transitions are used.	No flow to writing. Difficult for reader to follow. No transitions used.	
<b>3. Conclusion</b>	Conclusion is concise and summarizes supporting points: restates the thesis in a new way. The reader is satisfied with the conclusion and is left with something to think about.	Conclusion is mostly concise and summarizes the supporting points. The reader is indifferent with the conclusion of the essay.	Conclusion provides a summary of supporting points: it does not restate the thesis.	Conclusion may be attempted but does not summarize or restate the thesis.	No conclusion is apparent in the essay.	
<b>C. Coherence of Thought</b>	<b>Excellent 10 points</b>	<b>Good 8 points</b>	<b>Average 6 points</b>	<b>Fair 4 points</b>	<b>Poor 0 points</b>	<b>JUDGE SCORE</b>

<b>1. Each paragraph logically linked to the main idea</b>	Brilliant, logical connection of each paragraph to the main idea. The coherence of thought is well defined and executed throughout the writing.	The paragraphs logically transition to the main idea.	Most of the paragraphs support the main idea.	Little evidence of paragraphs linking back to the main idea.	The paragraphs do not flow together and do not link back to the main idea.	
--	---	---	---	--	--	--

<b>D. Structure</b>	<b>Excellent 5 points</b>	<b>Good 4 points</b>	<b>Average 3 points</b>	<b>Fair 2 points</b>	<b>Poor 0 points</b>	<b>JUDGE SCORE</b>
<b>1. Grammar</b>	Zero (0) grammatical errors found in this essay.	1-2 grammatical errors were found in this essay. They do not detract from the general flow of the essay.	3-4 errors were found in the essay, and they detract from the overall flow of the essay.	There are 5-6 grammatical errors present which detract from the overall meaning and flow of the essay.	More than 6 errors were found in this essay. The errors are glaring, and the essay is difficult to read.	
<b>2. Spelling &amp; Punctuation</b>	Zero (0) errors in spelling and punctuation were found in this essay.	1-2 errors in spelling or punctuation were found in this essay.	3-4 errors in spelling or punctuation in this essay.	5 errors in spelling or punctuation were found in this essay.	5 or more errors in spelling or punctuation were documented within the essay.	
<b>Total Points (95):</b>						