

.....

# Speaking Skills

Eligible Divisions: Middle School and Secondary	Round 1: 4 minute speech	Digital Upload: YES
Solo Event: 1 competitor	IEP Required	Accommodation Form Required



#### New for 2024 – 2025

Editorial updates have been made.

#### **TEXAS HOSA**

#### Area Spring Leadership Conference

An "IEP Verification Form" must be uploaded before attending the Area Spring Leadership Conference to be qualified.

Qualified Competitors will be given an appointment time to present in person at the Area Spring Leadership Conference.

Top three (3) scores from each Area will advance to State.

#### Texas State Leadership Conference

All Competitors that qualify for State will be given an appointment time for the State Leadership Conference. Top three (3) scores from State will advance to ILC.

#### **Event Summary**

Speaking Skills allows HOSA members to improve their knowledge and skills surrounding effective oral communication. This competitive event requires competitors to develop a speech about a selected national topic. The topic for the year aligns to the HOSA membership theme, which is announced at the conclusion of the International Leadership Conference every year. This event is specifically for HOSA members classified under IDEA.

### 2024-2025 Topic: Powered by People

#### Dress Code

Proper business attire or official HOSA uniform. Bonus points will be awarded for proper dress.

#### **IEP Events**

Four (4) events in the HOSA Competitive Event Program are designed for students classified with an IEP. The events are **Interviewing Skills**, **Personal Care**, **Life Support Skills**, **and Speaking Skills**.

**Participation Eligibility:** To participate in these four events, the competitor MUST be classified under the provision of the 2004 reauthorized Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Students classified under Section 504 are NOT eligible to compete in this event.

IEP Verification and Accommodation: <u>To be eligible for</u> ILC competition, all competitors in these four (4) events must complete this form. <u>The form</u> also allows the competitor to request accommodation. To learn the definition of accommodation, please read <u>Appendix H</u>.

**Point Deduction:** If the competitor does not submit <u>this form</u> by the May15 deadline, the competitor will be allowed to compete but will receive 35 penalty points. Points will be deducted in Tabulations.

**Deadline:** The deadline for ILC is May 15 at midnight EST. Check with your Local/State Advisor (or state website) to determine the deadline for any regional and/or state conferences.

#### **Competitors Must Provide**

Eligibility form (submitted by state deadline per state's process AND digitally submitted for ILC before May 15<sup>th</sup>)

- Photo ID
- Paper or electronic notes (optional)

#### **General Rules**

1. Competitors must be familiar with and adhere to the General Rules and Regulations

#### **Competitive Process**

- 2. Use of index card notes during the speech is permitted. Electronic notecards (on a tablet, smartphone, laptop, etc.) are permitted but may not be shown to judges.
- 3. The prepared speech shall be a maximum of **four (4) minutes**.
- 4. The timekeeper shall present a flash card advising the competitor when one (1) minute remains. When the four minutes are up, the competitor will be stopped and dismissed.
- 5. All competitors shall speak on the same announced topic.
- 6. Props may NOT be used.
- 7. No microphones will be used for this event.

#### **Final Scoring**

- 8. In the event of a tie, a tiebreaker will be determined by the areas on the rating sheet section(s) with the highest point value in descending order.
- 9. If the competitor does not complete the <u>Eligibility Form</u> by the deadline, the competitor will be allowed to compete but receive 35 penalty points. Points will be deducted in Tabulations.

## SPEAKING SKILLS

Division: \_\_\_\_MS \_\_\_\_SS \_\_\_\_PS/Collegiate Judge's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Section # \_\_\_\_\_ Competitor # \_\_\_\_\_

A. Content	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair 4 points	Poor	JUDGE
	15 points	12 points	8 points	4 points	0	SCORE
1. Coverage of Topic	Demonstrates	Mentions topic and	Mentions topic and	Briefly mentions a	points Did not include much	
1. Coverage of Topic	command of the topic		briefly explains its	topic but does not	in the way of content	
	throughout the	Uses the topic as a		provide any analysis	or a topic.	
	speech. Discusses	path for the speech		or reasoning behind		
	the topic and its	Student offers		the topic.		
	significance. Uses the	explanations and				
	topic as a path for the	insights that link				
	speech. Student offers	back to the topic.				
	explanations and					
	insights that enhance					
	the understanding of					
	the topic.					
2. Impact	Effectively appeals to		While much of the	Few attempts were	No attempt was made	
Strong and	audience emotions	audience emotions		made to connect to	to tocus the audience	
meaningful message	(anger, tear,	(anger, tear,	emotionless and a bit		on the message	
	compassion, humor		dry there were a tew	the speech is dry	through emotional	
	etc.) to deliver the	etc.) to achieve the goal. Creates	moments in which the author	and lacks emotion to support the		
	message of the speech. Vivid and	some effective	succeeded in	message.	Fails to appeal to audience emotions.	
	emotive language	imagery through	engaging the	messaye.	No attempt to use	
	effectively used to	language.	audience		vivid or descriptive	
	create imagery to	language.	emotionally.		language to capture	
	engage audience		cinotionally.		audience emotions	
	emotionally.					
A. Content	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
	10 points	8	6 points	4 points	0	SCORE
	To points	-	o points	4 points	-	SCORE
3. Appropriate to the	The conference theme	points The conference	The conference	The conterence	points No statement of	
Conterence Theme	is clearly revealed and		The conference tneme is apparent			
Comerence meme					contoronco thoma in	
	Well-structured into			theme is not clearly	conterence theme in	
1	well-structured into	appropriate for	and not fully	communicated	conference theme in speecn.	
	well-structured into speecn.		and not fully threaded into			
4 Clear focus and	speecn.	appropriate for speecn.	and not fully threaded into speech.	communicated throughout speech.	speecn.	
4. Clear focus and	speecn. Speech was focused	appropriate for speech.	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence	communicated throughout speech. While evidence is	speecn. Speech lacked focus	
4. Clear focus and point of view	speecn.	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat	and not fully threaded into speech.	communicated throughout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the	speecn. Speech lacked focus and provided no	
	speecn. Speech was tocused and compelling to the	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compeiling; the	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a few moments	communicated throughout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the	speecn. Speech lacked focus	
	speecn. Speech was tocused and compelling to the	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat	and not tully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not	speecn. Speech lacked focus and provided no	
	speecn. Speech was tocused and compelling to the	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compeiling; the audience might	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compeiling evidence.	
	speecn. Speech was tocused and compelling to the	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compeiling; the audience might need stronger	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compeiling evidence.	
point of view	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience.	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support.	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made.	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged.	speecn. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence.	
	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average	communicated throughout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair	speecn. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor	JUDGE
point of view	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience.	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. <b>Good</b> 8	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made.	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged.	speecn. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0	JUDGE SCORE
point of view	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compeiling evidence. Poor 0 points	
point of view	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a rew moments when the audience was compelied to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compeiling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no	
point of view	speecn. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor introduced the	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening	
point of view	speecn. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. <b>Good</b> 8 <b>points</b> The competitor introduced the speecn adequately,	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearly	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Ur, the	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose of the speech, graps	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. <b>Good</b> 8 <b>points</b> The competitor introduced the speech adequately, including an	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a few moments when the audience was compelied to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearly establish the	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Or, the introduction was not	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speecn. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose or the speech, grabs the audience's	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. <b>Good</b> 8 <b>points</b> The competitor introduced the speech adequately, including an attention getter and	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearily establish the occasion and/or	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Or, the introduction was not useful in indicating	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose of the speech, graps the audience's attention and makes	appropriate for speech. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor introduced the speech adequately, including an attention getter and established the	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelied to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearily establish the occasion and/or purpose of the	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Ur, the introduction was not useful in indicating what the speech was	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speecn. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose of the speech, grabs the audience's attention and makes the audience want to	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor introduced the speecn adequately, including an attention getter and established the occasion and	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearily establish the occasion and/or purpose of the speech. weak	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Or, the introduction was not useful in indicating	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speech. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose of the speech, graps the audience's attention and makes	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor introduced the speech adequately, including an attention getter and established the occasion and purpose of the	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelied to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearily establish the occasion and/or purpose of the	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Ur, the introduction was not useful in indicating what the speech was	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speecn. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose of the speech, grabs the audience's attention and makes the audience want to	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor introduced the speecn adequately, including an attention getter and established the occasion and	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearily establish the occasion and/or purpose of the speech. weak	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Ur, the introduction was not useful in indicating what the speech was	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speecn. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose of the speech, grabs the audience's attention and makes the audience want to	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor introduced the speech adequately, including an attention getter and established the occasion and purpose of the	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearily establish the occasion and/or purpose of the speech. weak	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Ur, the introduction was not useful in indicating what the speech was	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	
point of view	speecn. Speech was focused and compelling to the audience. Excellent 10 points The competitor clearly establishes the occasion and purpose of the speech, grabs the audience's attention and makes the audience want to	appropriate for speecn. Speech is somewnat compelling; the audience might need stronger evidence in order to gain their support. Good 8 points The competitor introduced the speech adequately, including an attention getter and established the occasion and purpose of the	and not fully threaded into speech. Speech evidence was weak, there were a tew moments when the audience was compelled to the points being made. Average 6 points The competitor introduced the topic but did not clearily establish the occasion and/or purpose of the speech. weak	communicated througnout speech. While evidence is provided to prove the main points, the evidence is not compelling and leaves the audience unengaged. Fair 4 points The competitor failed to introduce the speech. Ur, the introduction was not useful in indicating what the speech was	speech. Speech lacked focus and provided no compelling evidence. Poor 0 points The competitor did no provide an opening statement.	

B. Organization	Excellent	Good	Average	Fair	Poor	JUDGE
	10 points	8	6 points	4 points	0	SCORE
		points			points	
2. Cohesion of Body of Speecn	Logical, coherent organization neiped convey the competitor's message clearly. It was easy to tollow and understand. I ransitions were appropriate to speech and neiped audience tollow along.	I he competitor used a logical order to deliver the message but may have minor lapses in organization. I ransitions were appropriate to speecn but were not as neipful to audience understanding.	The competitor attempted to use an organizational pattern, but it was not always effective. Competitor rambled at times and/or did not stay on topic.	The competitor was difficult to follow due to a lack of organization and rambling. Some conesion was demonstrated in the delivery.	audience was not able to follow the message	
3. Closing	The competitor	The competitor	The competitor	Audience has no	The competitor ended	
	prepares the audience for ending and ends memorably. They drew the speech to a close with an effective memorable statement The competitor's message was clear.	concluded the speech and ended the speech with a closing statement. Clear ending but ends with little impact.	and/or did not have a closing statement. Competitor's message could have been clearer.	unclear.	conclusion. Competitor had no message.	
C. DELIVERY	Excellent 10 points	Good 8 points	Average 6 points	Fair 4 points	Poor 0 points	JUDGE SCORE
1. Voice	The competitor's voice	The competitor	The competitor could	•		
Pitch, tempo, volume, quality	was loud enough to hear. The competitor varied rate & volume to ennance the speech. Appropriate pausing was employed.	spoke loudly and clearly enough to be understood. The competitor varied rate OR volume to enhance the speech. Pauses	be heard most of the time. The competitor	hearing /understanding much of the speech due to	is too low or monotone. Judges struggled to stay	
2. Stage	Movements &	attempted. The competitor	Stiff or unnatural use	The competitor's	No attempt was made	
<b>Presence</b> Poise, posture, eye contact, and enthusiasm	gestures were purposeful and enhanced the delivery of the speech and did not distract. Body language reflects comfort interacting with audience. Facial expressions and body language consistently generated a strong interest and enthusiasm for the topic.	maintained adequate posture and non-distracting movement during the speech. Some gestures were used. Facial expressions and body language sometimes generated an interest and enthusiasm for the topic.	of nonverbal behaviors. Body language reflects some discomfort interacting with audience. Limited use of gestures to reinforce verbal message. Facial expressions and body language are used to try to generate enthusiasm but seem somewhat torced.	posture, body language, and facial expressions indicated a lack of enthusiasm for the topic. Movements were distracting.	to use body movement or gestures to enhance the message. No interest or enthusiasm for the topic came through in presentation.	
3. Diction*, Pronunciation** and Grammar	Delivery emphasizes and enhances message. Clear enunciation and	Delivery helps to enhance message. Clear enunciation and pronunciation.	Delivery adequate. Enunciation and pronunciation suitable. Noticeable	Delivery quality minimal. Regular verbal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or	Many distracting errors in pronunciatior and/or articulation. Monotone or	
	pronunciation. No vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows"). Ione heightened interest and complemented the verbal message.	Minimal vocal fillers (ex: "ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows"). Ione complemented the verbal message	"ahs," "uh/ums," or "you-knows") present. Ione	"you-knows") present. Delivery problems cause disruption to message.	inappropriate variation of vocal characteristics. Inconsistent with verbal message.	
Total Points (110)						

\*Definition of Diction – Choice of words especially with regard to correctness, clearness, and effectiveness. \*\*Definition of Pronunciation – Act or manner of uttering officially